1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
8	* * *		
9	BRUNSON,		Case No. 2:19-cv-00917-RFB-DJA
10		Plaintiff,	OPPER
11	v.		<u>ORDER</u>
12	SOCIAL SECURITY,		
13			
14		Defendants.	

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 9] of the Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered October 31, 2019.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by November 14, 2019. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

. . .

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 9] is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. IT IS FUTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff Glenda Brunson's third application to proceed in forma pauperis is denied. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff must pay the full \$400 fee for a civil action, which includes the \$350 filing fee and the \$50 administrative fee, to proceed in this action. The Court Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff. DATED: December 9, 2019. **United States District Judge**